



## MINUTES

Tuesday, April 16, 2019

5:30 P.M. Closed Session

6:30 P.M. Open Session

### REGULAR MEETING

**CITY COUNCIL, AIRPORT COMMISSION,  
MARINA ABRAMS B NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, PRESTON PARK SUSTAINABLE  
COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE  
FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY**

Council Chambers  
211 Hillcrest Avenue  
Marina, California

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL & ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM: (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members)  
  
MEMBERS PRESENT: Lisa Berkley, Adam Urrutia, Frank O'Connell, Mayor/Chair Bruce C. Delgado  
  
MEMBERS ABSENT: Mayor Pro-Tem/Vice Chair, Gail Morton (Excused)
3. CLOSED SESSION: *As permitted by Government Code Section 54956 et seq., the (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Redevelopment Agency Members) may adjourn to a Closed or Executive Session to consider specific matters dealing with litigation, certain personnel matters, property negotiations or to confer with the City's Meyers-Milias-Brown Act representative.*
  - a. Conference with Legal Counsel – anticipated litigation – significant exposure to litigation pursuant to paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9 – one potential case
  - b. Real Property Negotiations
    - i. Property: Imjin Parkway/Landfill Site APNs 031-101-039,031-101-040, 031-101-041 and 031-101-042  
Negotiating Party: County of Monterey and Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Monterey  
Property Negotiator: City Manager  
Terms: Price and Terms

**6:30 PM - RECONVENE OPEN SESSION AND REPORT ON ANY ACTIONS TAKEN IN  
CLOSED SESSION**

Robert Rathie, Assistant City Attorney reported out Closed Session: Council met at 5:30 as indicated with regard to the matters listed under Conference with Legal Counsel and Real Property Negotiations. Both matters were discussed, Council received information and no reportable action was taken.

4. MOMENT OF SILENCE & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Please stand)

5. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:

a Recreation Announcements

6. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR: *Any member of the Public or the City Council may make an announcement of special events or meetings of interest as information to Council and Public. Any member of the public may comment on any matter within the City Council's jurisdiction which is not on the agenda. Please state your name for the record. Action will not be taken on an item that is not on the agenda. If it requires action, it will be referred to staff and/or placed on a future agenda. City Council members or City staff may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2. In order that all interested parties have an opportunity to speak, please limit comments to a maximum of four (4) minutes. Any member of the public may comment on any matter listed on this agenda at the time the matter is being considered by the City Council.*

- Ann Rudolph – Family has owned Marina Self Storage on Reindollar, which was built in 1974 and family ran for 45 years. Here tonight to speak about the Downtown Specific Plan and would have spoke at the Joint Planning meeting but was not aware of it. The City's proposed plan is a vision for the future, it's a beautiful plan and I support the vision. While the city has a vision the property owners are faced with a reality of immediately becoming non-conforming and remaining non-conforming for an unknown period of time. At the joint meeting there was only talk of the future and almost nothing about the immediate impact of the plan or the impact of current property owners and businesses if the plan as drafted in put into place. What happens when the entire downtown area becomes a legal non-conforming use? This was not discussed. There have been no formal public notices to property. When I asked staff about this I was told that while "*a few businesses have been contacted*", in fact no one in my area had been included. At the joint meeting Mayor Delgado asked why don't we encourage residents to build ADU? "granny units"? I'm not sure Mayor Delgado heard the quiet response that there will be no granny units because all single-family dwellings in the specific plan zone will be legal non-conforming units. Do any of the homeowners know that. Once people hear about the specific impacts that the currently proposed plan will have they will be upset and negative. By changing the way in which the city proposed to implement the plan now this problem can be eliminated. This designation is very far from "no bid deal" as the planning commission and staff portray. In our case our use will not be permitted at all. I spoke to a local appraiser, our banker and insurance agent all three raised serious concerns about legal non-conforming status and told me it would be expensive and problematic for us. This is not a theoretical no big deal issue. It was very recently a reality right here in Marina.
- Susan Hagen – At the recent meeting Council Member O'Connell wondered how in the world are we going to get there? That's a great question. Where is the economic analysis? There were several questions raised at that meeting regarding possible development incentives but as far as I can tell none are available or has yet been identified. If the city truly believes in this plan and that current property owners will have great opportunities to either redevelop their own properties or sell to developers, then let the economic single opportunities be the driver. If the opportunities come, then the current uses will no longer be highest and best use and owners will sell or redevelop and build high density multi-story mixed use buildings. By triggering legal non-conforming use on day one you are imposing a great hardship and serious restrictions on current homeowners and business owners. Dale Ellis and I have offered three (3) times on the record to meet with city planning staff to discuss how to effectively implement the plan and protect the existing community at the same time. We have not even received a no thank you. The attitude is definitely "we have been working on this for a long time and we are not interested". None the less we again offered to have those meetings. We understand the process will continue for some time while some

additional studies are done and an EIR is prepared so there is time to resolve the issues by developing an alternative approach that works for everyone. I believe Marina and all its constituencies will be better served by eliminating the current proposal to make the entire downtown legal non-conforming. Why not take multipronged approach, allowing new development to occur that builds to preferred multi-use, multi-story structures but also allow existing homeowners to build granny units, encourage businessowners to make capital improvements and maintain their property and let the redevelopment occur more broadly and naturally. Our family has been on the Monterey Peninsula for more than 100 years and we respectfully request the opportunity to work with the city to come up with a plan that accomplishes the city vision for the future in a way that work for the residents and the business owners.

- Dale Ellis – Attended the Planning Commission meeting on this process since the first of the year and my first comment to the commission was Marina deserves a great plan but there are issues and the biggest one is that from an owner’s standpoint is how will my property be treated while the plan evolves? These are some excerpts out of the City’s Code having to do with Legal non-conforming and it sets rules that are in play. We’ve asked during the course of the commission hearing to have the commission ask the staff to bring some real situations, real properties forward to show how that language affects them with the adoption of the plan. That didn’t happen, so I brought a few. The first is a property is on Mortimer Lane. It’s a single-family dwelling, that uses would no longer be allowed. It’s in a multi-family residential area, which does not seem to provide for detached single-family dwellings. Which means the property is limited to no additions to their house, they can have maintenance and repairs up to 25% of the assessed value provided there is no structural changes. The Cypress Greens property, a commercial property and their biggest constraint is going to be that anytime they wish to change uses in the building they are going to have to through the city for a Use Permit. Charles Apartments, that was purchased in 2018 for somewhere in the price of \$22 million. It would be in a multi-family residential area and because it does not comply with the plans, design or density guidelines those repair you see happening today would not be allowed. The facilities we’re in right now become non-conforming. The city would not be able to expand its facilities without going through a Use Permit process. The last property I wanted to point out is one that was approve by council is the Junsay Apartments. Under the plan as proposed Junsay becomes a legal non-conforming use immediately because it is a use that would not be allowed in the core area.
- Eddie Anderson – Announced that on April 19, 2019 at 5:30 is the Monterey County Peace Officers Association Annual Support Services Employee of the Year Recognition Dinner at the Monterey Elks Club. Proud to announce that our own Community Services Officer Neola Barnett was awarded that prestigious honor this year.
- Kevin P. Saunders – Announced that he will be running Senator Bill Monnig’s seat in the next election. Will be running on psilocybin legalization and statewide rent control, bachelor’s degrees for police officers and mainly to ban APAK an influence that Israel has on our democratic process. Asked council to boycott any Israeli products.
- Mike Owen – Announced Marina In Motion is having a “Meet the Staff” on Tuesday, April 23, 2019 at 6:30 pm. in the Marina Council Chambers. All members from the major departments will be present and it’s the public opportunity to ask staff in a less formal environment about policy, process, challenges and priorities. Marina In Motion is a non-partisan, nonprofit corporation whose sole purpose is to discuss issues affecting Marina and the region. This event will not be televised. Thinks it would be a great opportunity for staff to bring their family members to interact with the public as well.
- Linda Cunningham – Community Cat Allies was created and organized in 2016 and we’re a nonprofit. We trap, neuter and return feral cats to the wild. We were called to help somebody with a feral cat living in their backyard off of Reindollar. I’ve been trapping over in that area for about a

month and have caught my 14<sup>th</sup> cat. 11 of those were females and 3 were males. One male cat given the resources can produce 2500 kittens a year. Noted that if you need help with feral cats contact Community Cat Allies.

- Nancy Amadeo – Commented on the Downtown Vitalization Specific Plan joint meeting and one of the things that was discussed was the idea of parks in the downtown. Have noticed that areas that do have parks in their downtown come in one of two forms. Either the city grew up around the park or when there is a natural geographic feature. It would be important when this council and the planning commission continues to look at this plan that the look at the parks we already have and see how close they are to downtown and will they serve the purpose of a downtown park or is it better that we have one in town or that we use plazas as gathering places.
- Mayor Delgado – April 20, 2019 from 10:00am-Noon will be a community volunteer cleanup along Lapis Road/Del Monte Blvd.

7. CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: *Background information has been provided to the Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency and placed at the end of Other Action Items Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency.*

8. CONSENT AGENDA: *Background information has been provided to the City Council, Airport Commission, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and placed at the end of Other Action Items.*

a. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE:

- (1) Accounts Payable Check Numbers 92022-92149, totaling \$336,987.20  
 Electronic Payments from Successor Agency totaling \$47.50  
 Wire transfers from Checking and Payroll for February 2019 totaling:  
 \$491,760.58

b. MINUTES: None

c. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY: None

d. AWARD OF BID: None

e. CALL FOR BIDS: None

f. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS:

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-37**, preliminarily approving the Fiscal Year 2019-20 Engineer's Report for the Cypress Cove II Landscape Maintenance Assessment District; declaring its intention to levy an assessment in fiscal year 2019-20 in that district; and calling a public hearing for May 7, 2019.

- (2) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-38**, preliminarily approving the Fiscal Year 2019-20 Engineer's Report for the Seabreeze Landscape Maintenance Assessment District; declaring its intention to levy an assessment in fiscal year 2019-20 in that district; and calling a public hearing for May 7, 2019.
- (3) ~~City Council consider adopting Resolution No. 2019 , preliminarily approving the Fiscal Year 2019 20 Engineer's Report for the Monterey Bay Estates Lighting & Landscape Maintenance Assessment District; declaring its intention to levy an assessment in fiscal year 2019 20 in that district; and calling a public hearing for May 7, 2019. *Pulled by member of the public, becomes agenda item 11c*~~
- (4) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-39**, adopting a list of projects for Fiscal Year 2019-20 funded by SB 1: The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017.

g. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS

h. ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS: None

i. MAPS: None

j. REPORTS: (RECEIVE AND FILE):

- (1) City consider receiving Investment Reports for the City of Marina and City of Marina as Successor Agency to the Marina Redevelopment Agency for the quarter ended March 31, 2019.

k. FUNDING & BUDGET MATTERS:

- (1) ~~City Council consider adopting Resolution No. 2019 , approving the transfer of \$75,000 from Account #6300.610 (Professional Services Planning) to the City Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Project Fund for the Downtown Vitalization Specific Fund Project; and approve the appropriation of \$101,500 from the General Fund to the City CIP Project Fund for the Downtown Vitalization Specific Fund Project; and authorize the Finance Director to make the necessary accounting and budgetary entries. *Pulled by Council Member O'Connell, becomes agenda item 11d*~~

l. APPROVE ORDINANCES (WAIVE SECOND READING): None

m. APPROVE APPOINTMENTS: None

Mike Owen requested to pull agenda item 8f(3) for discussion.

Council Member O'Connell had question for agenda item 8j(1) and requested to pull agenda item 8k(1). 8j(1) question – page 4, states “cash not earning interest - \$3,579,602”. What is it meant that we have \$3.5 million cash that is not earning interest? Is that normal?

**DELGADO/BERKLEY: TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA MINUS 8f(3) AND 8k(1). 4-0-1(Morton)-0 Motion Passes**

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

10. OTHER ACTIONS ITEMS OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The Successor Agency may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*
11. OTHER ACTION ITEMS: *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The City Council may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*

***Note: No additional major projects or programs should be undertaken without review of the impacts on existing priorities (Resolution No. 2006-79 – April 4, 2006).***

- a. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-40**, approving new Police Department patch to reflect the City’s official city seal, and; authorize expenditure of Police Department funds to support this change.

Presented by Chief Nieto and Commander Anderson

Council Questions: Was there any discussion of using Oak tree vs. the Cypress branches? Is it too later to consider an oak tree instead of a cypress? On the patch that you have there you have the star or whatever you what to call it, but you also have on your uniform something similar in shape?

**URRUTIA/BERKLEY: TO APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 2019-40, APPROVING NEW POLICE DEPARTMENT PATCH TO REFLECT THE CITY’S OFFICIAL CITY SEAL; AND AUTHORIZE EXPENDITURE OF POLICE DEPARTMENT FUNDS TO SUPPORT THIS CHANGE. 3-1(O’Connell)-1(Morton)-0 Motion Passes**

Public Comments:

- Mike Owen – Likes the cypress tree, likes the sand dunes and the ocean. Critiqued the sun as looking at a sunrise even though we don’t have a sunrise there. The two brown side areas above the blue on either side of the sun is that supposed to be Monterey Bay on one side and Santa Cruz on the other?
- Nancy Amadeo – Likes the new look, appreciates that our seal was used on the badge, it says a lot about Marina. Remembers when there was the contest many years ago to redesign the new seal. This is the seal that got the approval of everybody and it was not the Council voting, it was the citizens voting. The Citizen even picked out who the three people would be who would compete in designing our new seal. It says a lot about Marina. It says about where we are on the bay. Thinks it’s a magnificent seal and loved it from day one and now it’s going to be on a patch. Agrees it should go up on that tavern wall in Alexandria.

- b. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-41**, approving an appropriation in the amount of \$20,000 cash from the Airport Operations Fund 555 as part of city’s pledge of cash match contribution; and, \$10,000 in-kind (staff time) from the Economic Development Fund100-440 for joint grant application between FORA and City of Marina to the U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA) for feasibility study on air space system management, UAS Market conditions, airport infrastructure suitability, federal aviation administration (FAA) regulations for UAS technology cluster, workforce training and business incubator facility at or near the airport; authorizing City Manager to execute grant documents and any amendments thereto; and, authorizing Finance Director to make necessary accounting and budgetary entries subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney.

Presented by Marilyn Lidyoff, Marina Economic Development Coordinator and Josh Metz, FORA and Jeff Crechriou, Marina Airport Manager

Council Questions: What effect does the ocean play in this? Of the \$10,000 in-kind which staff member will be used and how many hours? Does it end up being over-time for them? Does this take away from any other grant application that is currently being worked on? The \$20,000 pledged is only going to be applied if in fact we get the grant? How does not being allowed to have unmanned aircrafts affect Joby Aviation if they can test their potential models here? Are we talking about a \$400,000 feasibility study? If this was all successful FORA would obtain a \$500,000 grant, \$100,000 of which would come from FORA and the City and then FORA would administer that grant? It's hopes would be to bring up to 6,000 plus jobs to the Fort Ord use area? What kind of jobs will there be? Who would hold those jobs? Where would those jobs be stationed? Do the perspective new owners of the UC-MBEST properties, do they have title to that property? Where does the grant monies go if it were to be implemented? Who would be our competitors? The UC is potentially a benefactor and a participant, have they been asked or has Joby been asked if they would be interested in contributing in-kind or cash to this effort? Is there a reason why Joby or UC wouldn't contribute cash, but FORA and City of Marina would? Is there a reason why FORA and City of Marina are considering cash plus in-kind while the UC and Joby may or may not be only considering in-kind? So, the real estates and assets don't extend to UC's real estate and UC's assets? So, you've asked for a cash contribution beyond in-kind and they've said no? So, they have real estate and assets and we have real estate and assets why was there a different approach between the City and UC? Why was Marina Airport chosen over Salinas Airport? Has water allotment been taken into consideration for this project? With the various transition plans being discussed and the mentions of FORA administering the grant how does this impact this? Of all of the organizations involved in this, has prevailing wage been included in this for the building of infrastructure? Do the potential partners really understand what that means and the impact it will cost? What will happen to this grant administration if FORA were not to go past 2020 or FORA were to sunset after two-years but prior to the final wrap-up of this contract? Is there enough time for our legal staff to be vetting this? What is the deadline for submitting this?

Council Member O'Connell requested quote be placed in the minutes: *"Most federal grants stipulate that the recipient organization must not violate any federal laws and doing so will cause a forfeiture of the federal funds."* How is that or will that or have we got an answer to whether or not that will affect our being able to get this grant? Have you been informed by the federal government or some authority in the government that makes reference to the fact that as long as the funds are not used in any way for marijuana dispensaries or anything else that we're ok?

**BERKLEY/URRUTIA: TO APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 2019-41, APPROVING AN APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000 CASH FROM THE AIRPORT OPERATIONS FUND 555 AS PART OF CITY'S PLEDGE OF CASH MATCH CONTRIBUTION; AND, \$10,000 IN-KIND (STAFF TIME) FROM THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND100-440 FOR JOINT GRANT APPLICATION BETWEEN FORA AND CITY OF MARINA TO THE U.S. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION (EDA) FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY ON AIR SPACE SYSTEM MANAGEMENT, UAS MARKET CONDITIONS, AIRPORT INFRASTRUCTURE SUITABILITY, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION (FAA) REGULATIONS FOR UAS TECHNOLOGY CLUSTER, WORKFORCE TRAINING AND BUSINESS INCUBATOR FACILITY AT OR NEAR THE AIRPORT; AUTHORIZING CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE GRANT DOCUMENTS AND ANY AMENDMENTS THERETO; AND, AUTHORIZING FINANCE DIRECTOR TO MAKE NECESSARY ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETARY ENTRIES SUBJECT TO FINAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE CITY ATTORNEY. 4-0-1(Morton)-0 Motion Passes**

Public Comments: None

- c. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-42**, preliminarily approving the Fiscal Year 2019-20 Engineer's Report for the Monterey Bay Estates Lighting & Landscape Maintenance Assessment District; declaring its intention to levy an assessment in fiscal year 2019-20 in that district; and calling a public hearing for May 7, 2019. *Pulled by member of the public, was agenda item 8f(1)*

Mike Owen – Lived at Monterey Bay Estates since 1990 and since I joined the Public Works Commission about six-years ago I was particularly interested in the assessment because it came before the Public Works Commission up until last year. Coordinated with staff and had a little working group that coordinated between staff and the contractor that came out, so we could tell people yes, these people are scheduled to come here at this time. We would meet with them quarterly basis, give them input which staff at that time appreciated. We got some good input about what exactly what areas were supposed to be covered. At one time there was a gap and the contractors didn't know who was doing what and they lost track. The last time I talked to you about this I was venting my frustration at the rows of dead bushes that have been there for about a decade. It took me for three years of trying to get the contractor to actually take down dead bushes. That landscaping was planted to make it an attractive entrance coming into Monterey Bay Estates. Half the homeowners are doing their own trimming and picking up the slack of the contractors. Urging that removal of dead bushes and trees/tree branches be a priority. Spent a couple of hours trimming the dead branches off the one tree issue which is the reason given by staff for the \$500 increase in utilities because it's supposed to go for water for replacing the tree. There is no indication in the breakdown of the cost for cutting down the tree, which is usually \$1,000-\$2,000 or replacing the tree. There is also a reference to an arborist report which is not in the staff report so you don't know the reasons why this person said this tree is a safety hazard.

**URRUTIA/BERKLEY: TO APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 2019-42, PRELIMINARILY APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-20 ENGINEER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTEREY BAY ESTATES LIGHTING & LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT; DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO LEVY AN ASSESSMENT IN FISCAL YEAR 2019-20 IN THAT DISTRICT; AND CALLING A PUBLIC HEARING FOR MAY 7, 2019. 4-0-1(Morton)-0**  
**Motion Passes**

Public Comments: None

- d. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-43**, approving the transfer of \$75,000 from Account #6300.610 (Professional Services Planning) to the City Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Project Fund for the Downtown Vitalization Specific Fund Project; and approve the appropriation of \$101,500 from the General Fund to the City CIP Project Fund for the Downtown Vitalization Specific Fund Project; and authorize the Finance Director to make the necessary accounting and budgetary entries. *Pulled by Council Member O'Connell, was agenda item 8k(1)*

Council Member O'Connell – Is there any harm in holding off on the Environmental Impact Review cost of \$136,000 until we get the information that would have been in Chapter 6 of the Downtown Vitalization Specific Plan? On page 19, Schedule, the 5 bullet points – schedule include the following assumptions: second bullet point says: *“the specific plan is stable such as the project description efforts can commence immediately”* is it at this point considered to be stable specific plan? Are you comfortable with the understanding or belief that this specific plan will be stable before we get to the point of saying to Rincon go ahead. In the focused EIR, the final bullet point: only two issues will be addressed in the focused EIR and those two issues are water and transportation?

Council Questions: When you have scoping meetings for the CEQA process for this EIR, how will the Rincon consultants and our city staff and the public should they be present at these meeting requesting analysis of business impacts as we heard earlier? Would it be appropriate tonight for us to deliberate on the potential for an appendix to the EIR or is that outside our scope? Do you expect the comments/suggestions from the public on the tree list to be incorporated into these documents prior to Planning Commission’s review? Page 12, Qualifications, 2010-2011 there was a draft EIR prepared relating to analyzing four lanes to two lanes option on Reservation Road – is there any validity or will that help in any way the process that’s going forward or is that this dead cause of being too old?

**BERKLEY/DELGADO: TO APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 2019-43, APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF \$75,000 FROM ACCOUNT #6300.610 (PROFESSIONAL SERVICES PLANNING) TO THE CITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) PROJECT FUND FOR THE DOWNTOWN VITALIZATION SPECIFIC FUND PROJECT; AND APPROVE THE APPROPRIATION OF \$101,500 FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE CITY CIP PROJECT FUND FOR THE DOWNTOWN VITALIZATION SPECIFIC FUND PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZE THE FINANCE DIRECTOR TO MAKE THE NECESSARY ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETARY ENTRIES. 4-0-1(Morton)-0 Motion Passes**

Public Comments: None

12. COUNCIL & STAFF INFORMATIONAL REPORTS:

- a. Monterey County Mayor’s Association [Mayor Bruce Delgado]

Mayor Delgado – The 12 mayors met earlier this month in Seaside and the County’s budget gap is \$10 million, which is down from last year. There was discussion about the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District Board of Directors where a lot of public attended to encourage the mayors to have a revote for the representative on that district water board. Our next meeting will be held in Soledad on the first Friday in May.

- b. Council and staff opportunity to ask a question for clarification or make a brief report on his or her own activities as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2.

City Manager announced that the appeal hearing for the Coastal Development Permit will be held on April 30, 2019 at 6:30 pm.

Council Member O’Connell, FOR A will hold a subsequent meeting on May 8<sup>th</sup> relating to a second vote relating to the Transition Plan

13. ADJOURNMENT: The meeting adjourned at 9:46 PM

---

Anita Sharp, Deputy City Clerk

ATTEST:

---

Bruce C. Delgado, Mayor