



MINUTES

Tuesday, May 21, 2019

5:30 P.M. Closed Session
6:30 P.M. Open Session

**REGULAR MEETING
CITY COUNCIL, AIRPORT COMMISSION,
MARINA ABRAMS B NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, PRESTON PARK SUSTAINABLE
COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE
FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY**

Council Chambers
211 Hillcrest Avenue
Marina, California

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL & ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM: (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Lisa Berkley, Adam Urrutia, Mayor Pro-Tem/Vice Chair, Gail Morton, Mayor/Chair Bruce C. Delgado

MEMBERS ABSENT: Frank O'Connell (Excused)
3. CLOSED SESSION: *As permitted by Government Code Section 54956 et seq., the (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Redevelopment Agency Members) may adjourn to a Closed or Executive Session to consider specific matters dealing with litigation, certain personnel matters, property negotiations or to confer with the City's Meyers-Milias-Brown Act representative.*
 - a. Conference with Legal Counsel, one case of existing litigation pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of CA Govt. Code Section 54956.9: Marina Community Partners LLC v. Fort Ord Reuse Authority, Monterey Superior Court Case 18CV000871.”
 - b. Conference with Legal Counsel-anticipated litigation- initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9 – One potential case.
 - c. Labor Negotiations
 - i. Marina Employees Association
 - ii. Marina Management Employees Association
 - iii. Marina Public Safety Management Association
 - iv. Marina Professional Firefighters Association

City Negotiators: Layne Long, City Manager and Employee Relations Officer

 - d. Real Property Negotiations
 - i. Property: Imjin Parkway/Landfill Site APNs 031-101-039,031-101-040, 031-101-041 and 031-101-042

Negotiating Party: County of Monterey and Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Monterey
Property Negotiator: City Manager
Terms: Price and Terms

6:40 PM - RECONVENE OPEN SESSION AND REPORT ON ANY ACTIONS TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

Robert Rathie reported out Closed Session: The Council met in Closed Session this evening on two of the matters listed on the agenda for Conference with Legal Counsel and that was on the matter case listed on the agenda and a matter concerning initiation of litigation. Information was received, and direction was provided, there was no reportable action taken. The Council has reserved the option to return to Closed Session at the end of this meeting if necessary to take up those other matters.

4. **MOMENT OF SILENCE & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** (Please stand)

5. **SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:**

a **Quarterly Recreation Awards**

i. **2018 City of Marina Punt Pass and Kick Winners**

ii. **Elks National Hoop Shoot Free-Throw Contest 2018-29 Marina Winners**

iii. **2019 Elks Hotshot Contest Marina Winners**

iv. **Marina Track Club – 2019 Nor-Cal Medal Winners**

b **Public Works Week Proclamation**

c **CSUMB 25th Anniversary Presentation**

d **Professional Staff Officer of the Year – Neola Barnet**

e **Retirement Proclamation – Police Commander Bob Nolan**

f **Police Memorial Month**

g **Recreation Announcements**

6. **SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR:** *Any member of the Public or the City Council may make an announcement of special events or meetings of interest as information to Council and Public. Any member of the public may comment on any matter within the City Council's jurisdiction which is not on the agenda. Please state your name for the record. Action will not be taken on an item that is not on the agenda. If it requires action, it will be referred to staff and/or placed on a future agenda. City Council members or City staff may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2. In order that all interested parties have an opportunity to speak, please limit comments to a maximum of four (4) minutes. Any member of the public may comment on any matter listed on this agenda at the time the matter is being considered by the City Council.*

- Ruth Krotzer – Has concerned about the need for an extra fire truck and firefighters. Asked Council to consider funding for these during budget deliberations.
- Mike Owen – Made comments for possible streamline of process for the Tree Committee appointments. Suggested that city use previous applications of persons already appointed to the committee if no new application were received. This would allow a faster appointment process.
- Paula Pelot – Commented on the lack of maintenance at the Preston Park Sports Complex. It's been over one year since this was last brought before the Council and nothing has been done the

correct the concerns raised one-year ago. This is a City owned park and should be maintained by the Public Works department. You're coming up on budget time, please give Public Works the money to do the maintenance out at Preston Park.

- Council Member Berkley – Invited the public to attend the Compassionate Care City presentation on May 23, 2019 from 6:00-9:00pm at the Marina Library Community Room.
- Mayor Delgado – Thanked everyone who showed up for our community cleanup on Del Monte and Lapis Road on May 18th. Our next cleanup will be held on June 29th from 10:00am-Noon at the same location. On May 25th and June 1st from 9:00-Noon is the Marina High School Volunteer Community Beautification event at Marina High School. On August 9th from 5:00pm-8:00pm is National Night Out.
- Council Member Urrutia – Request from Christina Medina-Dirkson who wanted to extend her thanks to the City of Marina and many organizations that partnered with the Friends of the Marina Library for the All Children's Birthday Party.

7. CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: *Background information has been provided to the Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency and placed at the end of Other Action Items Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency.*

8. CONSENT AGENDA: *Background information has been provided to the City Council, Airport Commission, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and placed at the end of Other Action Items.*

a. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE:

- (1) Accounts Payable Check Numbers 92309-92392, totaling \$264,051.44
Accounts Payable from Successor Agency totaling \$166.25

b. MINUTES:

- (1) April 2, 2019, Regular City Council Meeting – Corrected
- (2) April 30, 2019, Special City Council Meeting
- (3) May 6, 2019, Special City Council Meeting

c. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY: None

d. AWARD OF BID: None

e. CALL FOR BIDS: None

f. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS:

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-51**, authorizing the city manager to place on a future agenda for discussion and decision the enactment of a policy permitting Marina residents, who are registered voters, to occupy an absent council member’s seat for the sole purpose of asking questions and making comments on open session agenda items.
- (2) ~~City Council consider adopting Resolution No. 2019 , approving estimated cost for service for calendar year 2019, Fourth of July activities and; setting cost recovery surcharge at seven (7%) percent for calendar year 2019 pursuant to Marina Municipal Code Section 15.32.091. *Pulled by Mayor Pro-Tem Morton, becomes agenda item 11e.*~~
- (3) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-52**, approving the purchase of four self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) and 8 SCBA bottles, and; authorize the Finance Director to make necessary accounting and budgetary entries, and; authorize the City Manager to execute purchase agreement with MSA, a fire equipment provider, in an amount not to exceed \$ 39,000 on behalf of the City subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney.

g. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS: None

h. ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS: None

i. MAPS: None

j. REPORTS: (RECEIVE AND FILE):

- (1) MST Announcement

k. FUNDING & BUDGET MATTERS: None

l. APPROVE ORDINANCES (WAIVE SECOND READING): None

m. APPROVE APPOINTMENTS:

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-53**, approving the recommendation by the Marina Planning Commission to appoint Gregory Simmons to the Marina Tree Committee with a term expiring May 2020.

Mayor Pro-Tem Morton requested to pull agenda item 8f(2).

DELGADO/URRUTIA: TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA MINUS 8f(2). 4-0-0(O’Connell)-0 Motion Passes

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS: None

10. OTHER ACTIONS ITEMS OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The Successor Agency may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*

11. **OTHER ACTION ITEMS:** *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The City Council may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*

Note: No additional major projects or programs should be undertaken without review of the impacts on existing priorities (Resolution No. 2006-79 – April 4, 2006).

- a. City Council consider receiving an update on the status of an agreement with LimeBike, Inc to allow shared mobility devices to be parked in the City’s rights-of-way and to provide staff with further direction. **Continued from May 7, 2019**

Presented by Matthew Mogensen, Assistant City Manager. It was noted that as of this morning a Bill was going before the state legislator Bill 1286 that’s moved its way off the Assembly and now with the Senate. It seems like a Bill written by the industry because what it’s really doing is saying the only insurance requirements that the City would be able to ask for is \$1 million per occurrence and \$5 million aggregate, which is not exactly what we’re looking at originally. It would also prohibit a city from making a requirement that the shared device company indemnify the city or the user indemnify the city. it also says that if we were to authorize the use of these things in our rights-of-way we would have to have codified rules and regulations. This Bill would take effect January 1st of next year if passed.

Council Questions: if in fact we authorize this then we have to have rules and regulations? If we executed an agreement similar to the proposed agreement in item 11a that would be authorizing requiring us to have rules and regulations? If Lime has an agreement with CSUMB and we have Lime Scooter throughout the city, but we have no written agreement, we are just in the path of the Lime Scooters are we quote unquote “authorizing it”? Given that you have done so much of the research with regard to this issue as it affects our city including what should or should not be in a contract and including what MBASIA has what we should be considering as our insurance standards, would it be beneficial for our city to write a letter in opposition to this Bill or at least asking for amendment?

MORTON/BERKLEY: TO TAKE NO ACTION ON THE AGENDA ITEM FOR APPROVING A CONTRACT. THAT WE JUST RECEIVE THIS REPORT AND GIVE DIRECTION THAT WE ADDRESS THE CONCERNS OF THE CITY AS IT PERTAINS TO THE PROPOSED LEGISLATION. 4-0-1(O’Connell)-0 Motion Passes

Public Comments:

- Paula Pelot – Do we have the right to remove those scooters that are in the City’s right-of-way? Noted that she sees the scooters at people’s homes in their backyards. People are collecting them for some reason. They are fallen over on streets and they impede pathways.
- Glenn Mell – Only concern is when he see’s people zipping by is speed and safety. Not sure if there are any regulations if they have to wear a helmet. It’s a two-wheeled scooter and they go pretty fast.

- b. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-54**, receiving the proposed 2019-21 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) budget, and; receiving staff presentation thereof, and; providing direction towards the CIP budget adoption.

Presented by Brian McMinn, Public Works Director.

Project APR 1801 Annual Street Resurfacing Questions: What does Measure X Debt Service refer to? Will we see the pros and cons of the mathematics behind this later? To fix our streets, what thought

is included here or what plans are included here for rebuilding some of our worst streets? Before we get to the debt funding in a few years, is it potential that we'll rebuild one or two or more of those worst streets every year or are you going to get to that later in this presentation? Are telling people they may have to wait up to 20-years because we're not going to mix any in the meantime? Is the reason why we don't frontload with the bond until FY 20-21 that we have to go to the voters to ask to bond out our Measure X monies or is there a possibility of moving that \$5.7 million expenditure to this upcoming budget year? What happened to the dispute about the General Fund maintenance effort MOE issue with TAMC? If you're putting \$6.1 million in from the General Fund for each of the next six years that would be in addition to potentially bonding and infusing \$5.7 million, correct? In the first year you show a return to the General Fund of \$1 million, what's the negative number? So, the negative number is a payback? \$400,000 Yearly Debt Services for \$5.7 million Bond – Doesn't this figure depend on the interest rates? Have any bond experts been requested for this sort of timing advice?

Project 1802 - IT Server Room AC Upgrade Questions: What is shown on the left and right of the slide? If we budget as expected will these pictures change?

Project 1805 - Glorja Jean Tate, Equestrian Center, Dunes Park Questions: So, the Pump Track illustrated on the left photo need to wait a little longer until we finish the planning in order to start spending money to build it? So, progress on the Pump Track might start at the same time we finish up the planning for all the parks?

Project EDR 1808 - Imjin Parkway Widening Project Questions: When will the construction start? What is the completion date? Is there a total of four round-a-bouts? Didn't TAMC receive a \$19 million grant to help this project out? Where is the other \$16 million coming from? Of the \$35 million how much is it costing the City?

Project EDF 1810 - City Hall & Annex Permit Center Questions: Does the current configuration have any effect on moral? Were there any shortcuts or is \$335,000 what was needed? Is accurate to say that when staff is writing a report they have to visit various areas to gather their information for the report? Did you guys investigate how much it would cost to remove City Hall and rebuild it?

Project EDR 1811 - Del Monte Blvd Extension to 2nd Avenue Questions: If someone what's to ride their bike from the Del Monte Blvd. to the Target Shopping Center when might it be completed?

Project QLF 1902 - Pool Building Rehabilitation Questions: Have we spent any on yet on planning? If we built a pool when would it be fully opened, ballpark year? Do we have the money planned for it?

Project EDR 1903 - 8th Street Extension Questions: Is this right in front of the Promontory? This is a location for a round-a-bout? Has the University provided input on this proposal? We're talking about from the Target Shopping Center all the way past the Promontory up to Inter-garrison? Has the alignment already been decided by the City? Will the alignment be substantially different than it is today? What kind of Fort Ord recreational trails and greenway considerations have gone into this? So, the FORTAG authors are onboard with this? So, is this \$550,000 paying into the construction of the road? So, is \$550,000 just to design the work? How much will this road cost to construct?

Project EDR 1904 - Salinas Avenue Widening Questions: So, is this a must, are we required to do this because it's litigation? This is a requirement that we must accomplish?

Project EDC 1905 - Dune Development Mitigation Study Questions: Doing this impact fee study, will it include by telling us whether or not we have to do any upgrades to the Imjin Bridge over Hwy 1? Do we have any idea of the worst case and the best-case project, how much it would cost to do the bridge work? What do we need to do as a city to make sure that this, Imjin Overpass is considered by TAMC and how does that correlate with this traffic impact study?

Project HSF 2001 - Old Corp Yard Entry Gate Automation Questions: Do we have fuel tanks there?

Project QLF 2002 - Council Chambers ADA & Media Broadcast Questions: None

Project APF 2003 - Vince DiMaggio Building Rain Gutters Questions: None

Project QLF 2004 - Roller Hockey Building Rehabilitation Questions: None

Project HSR 2005 - California Avenue Pedestrian Crossing Questions: How do we make 35mph-50mph roadway safe with a mid-block crosswalk? What would be done there to make it safe? Are we completely out of bounds to be able to put a round-a-bout there? What would be the largest obstacle, in the future for having a long-term solution there be a round-a-bout? What is the cost of a traffic signal? What about speedbumps?

Project QLP 2006 - Gloria Jean Tate Park Improvement Questions: What is the breakdown of the \$350,000? How much of it is for the restroom, how much for the Pump Track? How much of that will be private, if we know at all?

Project HSR 2009 - Retention Basin Annual Water Monitoring Questions: None

Project HSR 2011 - Street Light Replacement Questions: Has staff considered Bayer Street at Carmel as a dark intersection that could be used for additional lighting?

Project HSR 2012 - Traffic Signal Maintenance & Upgrade Questions: None

Project EDC 2013 - City Local Coastal Program Update Questions: How is the Local Coastal Program different from the Local Coastal Plan?

Project EDC 2014 - City General Plan Update Questions: None

Project EDC 2015 - Downtown Vitalization Specific Plan EIR Questions: None

Project QLP 2016 - Seahaven Community Park Questions: None

Project QLP 2007 - Dunes Barracks Stabilization Questions: Have we had enough discussion that the restoration of these six barracks would be wanted by public interest, public visitation, maintenance costs? Is it better to stabilize these buildings for \$450,000 vs. what is the cost of duplicating these buildings? Is there any partnership that the organizations associated with the VA/DoD might be willing to help assist us with? Is there an inherent value that we have the actual sticks that were there in 1941 or is it, do we meet our objective by having new sticks that look like they were built in 1941? Is it less expensive?

Project EDF 2008 - Arts Village Building Stabilization Questions: None

Project EDF 2010 - Duplex Housing Renovation Questions: Has the City spoken to Jack Murphy at the VTC on the rehab costs for these duplexes? What is the cost for new construction?

Council Overall Questions: What is the final GF budget total of this report? In the capital proposal tonight is there any dollars allocated for Preston and the Equestrian Center? Within the next five years, is there anything yet on paper for those two locations? What does staff suggest we allocate toward Windy Hill, Preston Park and the rest of our parks? What year do you think Tatum's Treehouse will need the buildings to be removed? If FORA does not take down these buildings where is my sheet of paper in here that includes the cost of preparing the site, which includes the cost of barracks removal and how many barracks?

Council Request for Additional Information: Preston Sports Complex budget; Tatum's Garden/Treehouse budget including component for building removal; Equestrian Center budget. City's other parks.

Berkley/Delgado: to adopt Resolution No. 2019- receiving the proposed 2019-21 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) budget, and; receiving staff presentation thereof, and; providing direction towards the CIP budget adoption, with an amendment to include the Equestrian Center, Tatum's Treehouse, and Preston's Sports Center. Withdrawn by motion maker

Substitute Motion

MORTON/URRUTIA: TO RECEIVE THE STAFF PRESENTATION AND THAT STAFF TAKE THE DIRECTION, WHICH IS TO BRING BACK THIS PROPOSED BUDGET WITH THE ITEMS WE DISCUSSED (TATUM'S TREEHOUSE, EQUESTRIAN CENTER, PRESTON SPORTS COMPLEX AND ANY OTHER OMITTED) TONIGHT AND PRIORITIZE IT AS WE DISCUSSED TONIGHT WITH WHAT OUR RETREAT PRIORITIZATIONS WERE; AND BRING IT BACK HOPEFULLY AT OUR NEXT MEETING. 4-0-1(O'Connell)-0 Motion Passes

Public Comments:

- Aiden – Asked that Council make sure that during development of the Fort Ord property that the Fort Ord Trails remain untouched.
- Paula Pelot – Dunes Barracks Stabilization and what the use would be potentially if those buildings other than just historic preservation and as Council Member Urrutia said for tourist or some other use, do we need all six? Preston Park, is \$200,000? The things that would be costly would be the retaining walls, the extension. The repair to the roof, the reason why it gets more expensive is because we're not doing it. These are small areas that need to be re-shingled. The water fountain needs to be fixed. Some painting needs to be done and the capstone. There's no way that's \$200,000. I see money in here for rain gutters at Glorja Jean-Tate. I see money in here for several other park things, some are actual upgrades and some of them are for studies. This mostly is just because it's been allowed to degrade. The outbuilding simply needs to be cleaned out. The dumpster needs to be emptied and a lock needs to be put on there. That's not \$200,000. We're not talking about big improvements. We have events out there that brings money to the city. It has two ball fields and a soccer field. The rest is just maintenance. It's not a \$200,000 project and it's much less than most of the other things you're already allocating for the other parks. Preston Park is a City park, it needs attention and has needed it for some time.
- Mike Owen – Sent an email to Edrie before last week's Public Works Commission meeting to tell him his numbers didn't add up on the annual resurfacing project. Those mistakes range from several hundred thousand to over a million dollars. Public Works Commission specifically made the motion at the end of the meeting that the resolution would be forwarded to the Council provided the corrections noted were made. They have not been made. It's the same report that the Public Works Commission received. Old Corporation Yard Entry Gate - When I read that the justification for this \$25,000 project was for opening and closing a heavy gate that may lead to staff injuries I was trying to figure out the only way that could happen is if the gate rolled over their foot. Considering all the other heavy equipment public works staff works with this is about as minimum as you can think and thinks that \$25,000 could go much further to further the design of the Dunes project to take into consideration Tatum's Garden coming in at that point. If you look at this specifically you might find other funds that could go towards the Tatum's Garden Dunes project. Hope the resolution takes these notes or correcting into account.

- Nancy Amadeo – Several years ago I brought forth the idea of the FORTAG within Marina the Veterans Memorial Trail and that there could be branches off of it. Tonight, was very excited to hear about six buildings being restored on the Dunes Park and whether it is necessary. Appreciated Mayor Pro-Tem Morton’s suggestion of stabilization/restoration or can they be duplicated and that is something that should be considered. Thinks it’s important in those discussions the FORTAG within Marina being a Veterans Memorial, which you all agreed to. One of the things that made people excited was this gave national purpose to that trail. Now it’s not just about connecting us to the National Monument and us to the other communities and visa-versa it was about bringing people into our community because of the historical significance. Thinks it’s important three of the barracks should be duplicated. One is an orderly room. One is a Barracks and one is a Mess Hall. Those are the three places that the veterans centered around. When I hear from veterans from around the country who come back here to visit, they’re devastated because they can’t find anything that relates to when they trained here. I would really like to see that this is incorporated into the park whether it’s a restoration project or rebuilding. You’ve looked at a lot of projects and a lot of dollars are involved. Even if you could do everyone of those projects in the next couple of years you’d have to look at the long-term costs. Every project you do requires continued funding to maintain it and thinks that needs to be part of your discussion.
- Tina Nieto – wanted to address that gate over near the gas pumps and the issue that Mike brought up that is would have to roll over their foot. I’m part of the safety committee and we have had an employee injury as a result of that gate and it’s not just public works. It’s everybody who uses that gas pumps to fuel all the city vehicles. It’s not just that it’s on a track it’s so old and dilapidated that the metal bars that this gate roles on are warped and comes off the track all the time and it’s a very heavy gate that there is a potential that somebody could actually be seriously injured. The other concern is from a safety standpoint that we have gas pumps that we don’t open and close regularly because of the danger of this gate where that we’re not securing them during certain hours and the gate is left open. So, there is safety concern from public safety.

10:00PM

Delgado/Urrutia: to continue to 10:10 PM. 3-1(Morton)-1(O’Connell)-0 Motion Passes

- c. ~~City Council consider adopting Resolution No. 2019 , receiving the proposed 2019-21 fleet budget, and; receiving staff presentation thereof, and; providing direction towards the fleet budget adoption. *Continued to June 4, 2019*~~
- d. City Council consider adopting Resolution No. 2019-, approving City participation and co-sponsorship in proposed 2019 Labor Day Parade; finding that the Marina Labor Day Parade is an annual, ongoing, event held on the Saturday of Labor Day Weekend; approve the request to enter into a contract with Ray Pulver of Upbeat Parade Productions Up to the amount of \$10,000 listed in Exhibit “B” those funds to be incumbered from the funds authorized but not fully expensed from the 25K approved in the 18/19 budget for special events; authorize the Finance Director to make the necessary budgetary and accounting entries, and; provide staff with any further direction in the matter.

Mayor Delgado – I just need to have some indication if the city council does not wish to continue with the parade, it’s truly ok. It’s a big effort and it’s already behind schedule and so I would rather not wait. We already have two meetings as far as the parade committee. We scheduled another one next week so if the council thinks that this is something we could do without please let me know because I

think it's ok to at least take a break for the year until we figure out what you might want to do if anything for that holiday. I would to hear where you're coming from on this so that maybe we could just wrap it up tonight.

Council Comments:

Council Member Urrutia - Good to take a break from this this year as more people march in the parade than witness the parade, parade does nothing to honor labor. Marina would be better served by having a Veteran's Day or Memorial Day activity.

Mayor Pro-Tem Morton – Concerned about the cost and to be expended in FY 2018-19 budget, which we did not budget for. Total cost the city if approved is \$20,600 but does not include the absorption of city services. Commented on another organization that volunteered and crated a Veteran's Day at the Equestrian Center, which was heavily attended by our veteran's. See's this as a broader discussion, so to skip the Labor Day Parade in 2019 to have a devotion of public input on what is the event, what would that be and what's really feasible in our budget I think is more appropriate.

Council Member Berkley – What is Labor Day? Our parade hasn't really been honoring workers. Wonders about the money and how it's being spent. Real interest is in seeing cultural diversity occur here and if we were to go ahead with the Labor Day Parade would really like to see a very strong focus on incorporating honor of labor which so many of our community are hard working laborers and should be honored. That we reflect cultural and ethnic diversity as part of the parade.

Mayor Delgado – Mentioned that the city has never paid more than the police, fire and public works costs with a little bit of money for stationary. Normally we spend somewhere between \$3,000-\$6,000 on all the staff the day of the event. This would be adding \$10,000 to the approximate \$3,000-\$6,000 that we spent every year on the parade. We received lots of cultural diversity in our parade. Sounds like we can pull this for this year, so we don't have to bring it back next week.

10:10pm

Morton/Berkley: to extend long enough for public comments. 4-0-1(O'Connell)-0

Public Comments:

- Paula Pelot – The math that was presented in Exhibit A does not add up, suggested putting it into a spreadsheet; parades are poorly attended. They may have a lot of participants in the parade, but they're not well attended. We need to think about how we could have a community event that engages the community. Has concerns about the contract. Would like to see the costs associated with each task in the contract.
- Mike Owen –Was impressed at the annual retreat with all the analysis and two-year budget and the CIP, very analytical, logical breakdown of the city government functions and how to make them more efficient. There is no CIP (Celebration Improvement Plan) for Labor Day Parade. What the Mayor expressed at the last meeting was a disappointment because there wasn't any improvement in business because of downtown participation. It seemed like there were a lot more people in the parade that were from outside Marina, not in Marina. What's the purpose of the parade? Is it to try and bring more business to downtown Marina? Has an analysis been done for past parades? How many people were in the parade? How many Marina organizations were in the parade? What was the expense that the police and public works had to do as a quantitative figure besides just the \$10,000 that's budgeted? I think this is a good opportunity to maybe not abandon it but try to do some sort of quantitative analysis or logical planning or structure for what it is that you want to happen. Whether it's a Labor Day, Memorial or whatever or if it's a parade or the equestrian center. Need some sort of measurement to see if you make the goal or some sort of plan that's similar to like everything else that you do with the government.

- e. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2019-55**, approving estimated cost for service for calendar year 2019, Fourth of July activities and; setting cost recovery surcharge at seven (7%) percent for calendar year 2019 pursuant to Marina Municipal Code Section 15.32.091. *Pulled by Mayor Pro-Tem Morton, was agenda item 8f(2)*

Mayor Pro-Tem Morton – staff recommendation is to instead of having to have this come back to Council every year to set the surcharge at 7% for 2019 and every year after that. My Question is: that the surcharge at 7%, that’s the cap that we can currently do under the ordinance we adopted but its only recovering 91% of the cost for services. What is the procedure for increasing the cap to 8% or 9%? And even if that can’t be done for 2019 that we start that procedure for 2020 and each year thereafter. So, the concept when we adopted that ordinance we set a cap that we thought we’d recoup 100%, now that’s not happening. So, we would not be able to amend our ordinance between now and the time this needs to be set for this 4th of July?

MORTON/URRUTIA: THAT WE SET THE SURCHARGE AT SEVEN PERCENT (7%) FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2019 PURSUANT TO MARINA MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 15.32.091 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER WITH THE DIRECTION THAT THAT MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION BE BROUGHT FORTH IN FRONT OF COUNCIL FOR MODIFICATION TO INCREASE THE AMOUNT TO ENABLE THE CITY TO RECOUP THEIR EXPENSES. 4-0-1(O’Connell)-0 Motion Passes

- 12. COUNCIL & STAFF INFORMATIONAL REPORTS:
 - a. Monterey County Mayor’s Association [Mayor Bruce Delgado]
 - b. Council and staff opportunity to ask a question for clarification or make a brief report on his or her own activities as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2.
- 13. ADJOURNMENT: The meeting adjourned at 10:18 PM

Anita Sharp, Deputy City Clerk

ATTEST:

Bruce C. Delgado, Mayor